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Abstract. Answer Set Programming (ASP) is a well-established declarative problem solving paradigm; it features high expressiveness and the ability to deal with incomplete knowledge, so it became widely used in AI and it is now recognized as a powerful tool for knowledge representation and reasoning (KRR).

Thanks to the expressive language and the availability of diverse robust systems, Answer Set Programming has recently gained popularity and has been applied fruitfully to a wide range of domains. This made clear the need for proper tools and interoperability mechanisms that ease the development of ASP-based applications. Also, the spreading of ASP from a strictly theoretical ambit to more practical scenarios requires additional features for easing the interoperability and integration with other software; furthermore, improving the performance of actual ASP system is crucial for allowing the use of the potential of ASP in new practical contexts.

The contribution of our work aims at addressing such challenges; we introduce new tools and techniques for fostering the application of ASP. In particular, we present embASP: a framework for the integration of ASP in external systems for general applications, over different platforms and ASP reasoners. Furthermore, we define proper means for handling external computations in ASP programs, and implement a proper framework for explicit calls to Python scripts via external atoms into the ASP grounder I-DLV. Eventually, we work at improving the ASP computation, and present I-DLV + MS, a new ASP system that integrates I-DLV with an automatic solver selector for inductively choose the best solver.

1 Introduction

Answer Set Programming (ASP) is a purely declarative formalism for knowledge representation and reasoning developed in the field of logic programming and nonmonotonic reasoning. Unlike the traditional programming languages, ASP allows representation of a given computational problem by means of a logic program specifying a description of the desired solution. The problem is encoded using logic rules, allowing for both disjunctions in rule heads and nonmonotonic negation in the body, such that its solution can be computed as models, called answer sets; hence, an answer set solver can be used in order to actually find such solutions [12].
Unlike formalisms like Prolog that have strong procedural elements, the answer set semantics are fully declarative, therefore neither the order of rules nor the order of the literals affects the result and program termination. The answer set semantics is an extension of the stable model semantics [9] that has been enriched and generalized. Such work on the language definition has been carried out by the scientific community, and several extensions have been studied and proposed over the years until the ASP-Core-2 [2] standard language became the official language of the ASP Competition series.

After more than twenty years of scientific research, the theoretical properties of ASP are well understood, as witnessed by the availability of a number of robust and efficient systems, including DLV [11], wasp [1], and the Potassco suite featuring clingo, clasp and gringo [6, 7]. The availability of such systems enabled ASP to be employed in many different domains for practical applications and the development of industrial and enterprise applications [3, 5]. Notably, this spreading of ASP from a strictly theoretical ambit to more practical aspects make clear the need for proper tools and interoperability mechanisms that ease the development of ASP-based applications. Also, the increasing employment of ASP in many different domains requires additional features for easing the interoperability and integration with other software and accommodating external source of computation and value invention within ASP programs.

The “traditional” approach to the evaluation of ASP programs relies on a grounding module (grounder), that generates a propositional theory semantically equivalent to the input program, coupled with a subsequent module (solver) that applies propositional techniques for generating its answer sets. Many ASP tools are focused in one of the two processes, due to the complexity of implementing a monolithic full ASP system. However, monolithic systems offer more control over the entire process enabling new features for improving the performance due to the coupling of the grounding and solving system. Moreover, the current ASP solvers feature several different optimization techniques, thus causing them to outperform each other, depending on the domain at hand. This is due to many reasons, such as different data structures, input simplifications and heuristics that might work better or worse, depending on the specific domain. Therefore, one might think of obtaining consistently good performance over different problems by means of proper machine learning techniques that inductively choose the “best” solver according to input features that increase the performance of the actual ASP systems.

Our work has the goal of proposing solutions for properly addressing several challenges arising from the practical application of ASP in real-world domains. In particular, the main contributions of the work are summarised in the following sections.

2 Integrating ASP Systems into external applications

EMBASP is a framework for the integration of ASP in external systems for general applications, along with ready-made specializations to different platforms
and ASP reasoners. The framework features explicit mechanisms for two-way translations between strings recognizable by ASP solvers and objects in the programming language of choice, thus giving the developer the possibility to work separately on ASP-based modules and on the applications that make use of them. In order to illustrate the use of the framework, we implement an actual Java implementation and several specialized libraries for the state-of-the-art ASP systems, on mobile and desktop platforms, respectively, showing some applications developed that prove the effectiveness of the framework.

The framework, documentation, an application showcase and further details are freely available online [4].

3 Easing Interoperability of ASP Systems

In order to facilitate the integration of ASP with external systems we extend the ASP language with the capability of handling external computations with explicit calls to Python scripts via external atoms, and with interoperability mechanisms for the connection with relational and graph databases via explicit directives for importing/exporting data. Similar features have been already proposed in the literature; however, we proposed them mainly for two reasons. First, we wanted to enrich I-DLV, the new grounding module of the ASP system DLV\(^1\), with such capabilities; furthermore, we wanted to guarantee optimal performance in all scenarios, even at the cost of lowering the expressivity of the extensions. It is worth noting that I-DLV has been conceived as a flexible tool for experimenting with ASP and its applications and as a system explicitly adapt for encompassing extensions and new features.

4 Automating Solver Selection

The performance of current ASP systems can be defined as good enough for different real-world applications. However, they feature several different optimization techniques, which cause systems to outperform each other depending on the domain at hand. The capability to enjoy good performance over various problems domains has already been studied by other communities, by means of proper strategies of \textit{algorithm selection} [15]. This approach consists of building machine learning techniques to inductively choose the “best” solver on the basis of some input program characteristics, or \textit{features}. As far as ASP is concerned, some interesting works in this respect have already been carried out in [14].

\textit{I-DLV +MS} is a new ASP system that integrates \textit{I-DLV} with an automatic solver selector: machine-learning techniques are applied to inductively choose the best solver among a set of available ones, depending on the inherent features of the instantiation produced by \textit{I-DLV}. We define a specific set of features, and

\footnote{It is worth noting that we were actively involved in the \textit{I-DLV} project since the very beginning, being part of the core team during our PhD program.}
then carry out an experimental analysis for computing them over the instantiations obtained from the instances of benchmarks submitted to the 6th ASP competition. Furthermore, we test \textit{I-DLV+MS} performance both against the state-of-the-art ASP systems and the best-established multi-engine ASP system ME-ASP, proving that \textit{I-DLV+MS}, even though still at a prototypical stage, already shows good performance.

Notably, \textit{I-DLV+MS} participated in the latest (7th) ASP competition [8], winning in the regular track, category \textit{SP} (i.e., one processor allowed).

Moreover, a preliminary summary about \textit{I-DLV+MS} will be presented at the 24th RCRA International Workshop on Experimental Evaluation of Algorithms for Solving Problems with Combinatorial Explosion (RCRA 2017), workshop of the 16th Conference of the Italian Association for Artificial Intelligence (AI*IA 2017).

\section{Future Works}

As a future work we would like to test the \textsc{embASP} framework over different platforms and solvers, and properly evaluate performances. Although the framework has been initially conceived for fostering the usage of ASP, its abstract core makes it also adaptable to other declarative knowledge representation formalisms; indeed, we introduce a proper extension supporting the \textsc{PDDL} planning language in [4], far beyond logic formalisms similar to ASP.

Moreover, we plan to increase the functionalities of \textit{I-DLV} system related to the language extensions for easing the interoperability with the external system. More in detail, additional native directives for interoperating with external data will be added, along with means for a tighter integration with the ASP solver \textsc{wasp} in the new ASP system \textsc{DLV2}.

Notwithstanding the good performance, \textit{I-DLV+MS} is still in a prototypical phase. As future work, we aim to test additional supervised learning method and also several frameworks for automatic algorithm configuration, like \textsc{Autofolio} [13] or \textsc{Auto-WEKA} [10]. We also plan to significantly extend experiments over additional domains and analyze possible over-fittings of the model and try different splits of the dataset for the train and test set among the available problems. Moreover, we aim to both include additional ASP solvers with different parameterizations, and explore more features for improving the classification capabilities and achieve better overall performance.

In addition, we are studying the possibility of taking advantage from machine-learning techniques for improving performance of ASP grounding engines; in particular, we plan to develop a built-in automatic algorithm selector within the \textit{I-DLV} system (which \textit{I-DLV+MS} is based on), thus opening up the possibility to dynamically adapt all the optimization strategies to the problem at hand.
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